

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,230	09/08/2003	David M. Kinder	017198-0117	1075	
22428 75	590 09/27/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER			BUSHEY, CHARLES S		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE	TNW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO:	N, DC 20007		1724		

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s) KINDER ET AL.	
10/656,230		
Examiner	Art Unit	
Scott Bushey	1724	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
w •	Scott Bushey	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 12 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af etice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing dat			•
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprince in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) a
 NOTICE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>12 September 2005</u>. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the
AMENDMENTS	y made so mod mami are ame perio		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	,,	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling th
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,7-15,17-24 and 26-28</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .		ill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	is necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	
13. Other		1 Mal	

Scott Bushey Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1724

Application No. 10/656,230

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New Issues: The language added to previously pending claims 1, 4, 12, and 21, as well as the inventions as defined by new claims 29-32, raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Applicant should also note that new claim 30 is dependent upon itself.